



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,667	05/01/2006	Michiaki Omura	W1878.0232	6962
32172	7590	03/02/2010		
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP				
1633 Broadway				
NEW YORK, NY 10019				
EXAMINER				
DONABED, NINOS J				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2444				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/02/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/577,667	OMURA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	NINOS DONABED	2444	

**All Participants:**

(1) NINOS DONABED.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 February 2010

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Joseph Ragusa (38586).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 2 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*The 103 rejection was discussed*

Claims discussed:

*All claims*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Kenji, Bais, and Notomi*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner contacted Applicant's Attorney in response to the After Final dated 2/3/2010 in order to amend the claims and further the case along. The examiner recommended incorporating details of the distribution server's relationship with the plurality of cameras. Examiner stated that further search and consideration would be needed.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444  
 /N. D./  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)